49 1 I did not draft this exhibit, no. 2 MR. GEOLOT: I'm sorry. Now let's clarify which exhibit you're talking about. 3 4 THE WITNESS: Exhibit 3. 5 MR. GEOLOT: To the Reading 6 application? 7 THE WITNESS: To the Reading 8 application. 9 BY MR. COLE: 10 You did not draft that either, that 11 information was provided to you by 12 Mr. Parker? 13 Correct. 14 MR. GEOLOT: Well, just to clarify, 15 do you know who provided you the information? 16 THE WITNESS: No. I'm assuming --17 I seem to recall that the client provided me with the information. 18 19 BY MR. COLE: 20 Who else would have provided it to Q you? 21 2.2 No one else that I'm aware of. Α

- 1 Q Then, if I understand your
 2 testimony, you assembled all of this material
 3 into the format which is now in front of you
 4 as Friedman Number 10 and caused it to be
- filed with the FCC, correct?
- A Yes.
- Q In assembling the application did
 you review any of the decisions which are
 cited in Exhibit Number 3?
- 10 A I don't think I did.
- 11 Q Do you know whether anyone at

 12 Sidley reviewed the decisions that are cited

 13 in Exhibit Number 3 in connection with the

 14 preparation of this application?
- 15 A I don't think so.
- Q Were you the only person involved in the preparation of the application at Sidley?
- 19 A Clark Wadlow was also involved.
- 20 | O How was he involved?
- 21 A He was the supervisor attorney
- 22 partner.

- Q I'm sorry. That's perfectly responsive, but my question was not sharp
- What precisely was his involvement
 in terms of the preparation and filing of the
 application?
- 7 A I don't remember.

enough.

3

- 8 Q Just to complete the process, on
 9 Exhibit 4 to the Reading application, where
 10 did you get that information from?
- 11 A Probably from the client.
- 12 Q Do you recall whether you drafted 13 this or whether you just used the client's 14 language as presented to you?
- 15 A I don't recall.
- Q Finally, with Exhibit Number 5

 where did you get the information included
 there?
 - A I probably drafted that.
- MR. GEOLOT: Just a point of

 clarification, you had answered, and just so

 that the record is clear, with respect to

- 1 Exhibit 2 I believe the question was asked
- 2 did you prepare that language. Just so that
- 3 record is clear, does the same qualification
- 4 | that you put on with respect to Exhibit 3
- 5 and 4 apply to Exhibit 2?
- 6 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 7 MR. GEOLOT: In that you did not
- 8 draft as an original matter this information;
- 9 | is that correct?
- 10 THE WITNESS: That's correct.
- BY MR. COLE:
- 12 Q Do you know who did draft it?
- 13 A No, I don't.
- 14 Q Do you know who drafted Exhibit
- 15 Number 3?
- 16 A No, I don't.
- 17 | Q Go back if you could to Friedman
- 18 Number 9, which is the December 17 statement.
- 19 A Okay.
- 20 Q Am I correct that this reflects
- 21 | that you began the preparation process no
- 22 | later than November 1, 1991? In other words,

- 1 | we don't know that she didn't start before,
- 2 | but we know at least as of November 1, 1991
- 3 | she was working on it?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q Is that correct?
- A Yes.
- 7 Q Is it also accurate to say that
- 8 | your November time reflects a number of
- 9 entries, and you should feel free to count
- 10 | them up if you want to be very precise, but I
- 11 | believe 11/3/91 reflects prepare transfer
- 12 application, 11/4/91 prepare transfer
- 13 application, 11/5/91 prepare transfer
- 14 application, 11/6/91 teleconference with B.
- 15 | Williamson re EEO section of transfer
- 16 application, review same, teleconference Mike
- 17 Parker status, that all of those entries and
- 18 any similar entries reflect your efforts to
- 19 | prepare the 315 that we've been talking
- 20 about, the Reading 315?
- MR. GEOLOT: Are you asking just on
- 22 | the basis of looking at this document, not

- 1 | independent recollection?
- 2 MR. COLE: That's correct. I'm
- 3 trying to check to see what the words say, if
- 4 I'm interpreting them correctly.
- 5 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- BY MR. COLE:
- 7 Q By the way, who is B. Williamson?
- 8 Do you remember?
- 9 A I believe that was Barbara
- 10 | Williamson and I think she worked for Mike
- 11 Parker.
- 12 Q At Station WTVE?
- 13 A I don't remember.
- 14 Q During the course of the
- 15 | preparation of the application did you
- 16 provide copies of any draft of the
- 17 application to Mr. Parker?
- 18 A I don't recall.
- 19 | Q Do you recall providing any copies
- 20 | of a draft of the application to anybody else
- 21 at Reading Broadcasting, Inc.?
- 22 A I don't recall.

- 1 0 Can you describe for me how the 2 preparation process worked physically? How did you do it? The information is coming in 3 4 to you from whatever sources, as you 5 described already. What then did you do to 6 put all of that into the package that is now 7 the application and physically have it assembled and presumably back out to the 8 client at some point for signature? 9
 - A I'm not sure I understand.
 - Q This is purely a mechanical question. I just want to know how. Did you write it on to a blank form or gave it to a secretary to type in? Did you prepare it all in one afternoon? Did you prepare an exhibit here and an exhibit there? Do you have any recollection at all of the process that you followed?
 - A With respect to this application I don't recall specifically.
- Q Look at your November time, which is, I believe, Number 9. There is an entry

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- 1 | for you on 11/11/91, which reads, "Review,
- 2 | revised stock interests; prepare transfer
- 3 application."
- Do you have any recollection what
- 5 | that was about?
- 6 A No, I don't.
- 7 Q If you look at the table in
- 8 | the 315, which is at page six of the form,
- 9 | Section II, Table 1, I guess, is entitled,
- 10 | "Parties to Application." Do you see that?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q Does that refresh your recollection
- 13 | as to what you were looking at on 11/11/91
- 14 | with respect to stock interests?
- 15 A No.
- Q With respect to the stock interest
- 17 | listed in this table, were you aware that as
- of October 15th, 1991, the shareholders
- 19 listed in this table had already been issued
- 20 | the stock which is shown in this table?
- 21 A No.
- Q You'll agree with me, will you not,

- that this table also identifies officers and directors of the corporation?
- 3 A Yes.
- Q Were you aware that on

 October 30th, 1991, approximately two weeks

 before the application was filed, there had

 been changes in those officers and directors?
 - A No.

8

9

10

11

12

13

- Q Going back to your November time, the very last entry on the first page of the diary, 11/12/91, am I correct that that indicates that you had a telephone conversation with Mr. Parker about the transfer application?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Then it says, "Revise same."
- Do you know what you discussed with
- Mr. Parker about the transfer application in
- 19 | that call?
- 20 A No, I don't.
- Q Do you know whether the revision
- 22 | that's referenced there had anything to do

- 1 | with Mr. Parker's conversation with you?
- A No, I don't.
- 3 Q The next entry, which is
- 4 November 13, indicates that you finalized
- 5 transfer application and exhibits; is that
- 6 | correct?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 | O What does that mean?
- 9 MR. GEOLOT: You're asking her what
- 10 her recollection is now as to what that
- 11 | means?
- 12 THE WITNESS: I believe it is
- putting everything in one package and getting
- 14 | it ready to be filed.
- BY MR. COLE:
- 16 | Q It says the application was filed
- on November 13, that would be consistent with
- 18 that, correct?
- 19 A Correct.
- Q If you refer to the 315, and pick
- 21 any one of the two signature pages that were
- 22 | filed with the application originally, I

- 1 | don't know how we got three signature pages,
- 2 there are three signature pages, one on
- 3 page 12, one on page 13, one on page 14,
- 4 those are all dated November 12, 1991, aren't
- 5 they?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q Let me go back to the entry at the
- 8 bottom of the first page of the attorney
- 9 diary, the 11/12/91 entry involving the
- 10 | conversation with Mr. Parker about transfer
- 11 application.
- 12 A Yes.
- Q Do you know whether Mr. Parker had
- 14 | a copy of the draft at that point to review?
- 15 A I don't recall.
- 16 Q Again, refer, please, to the
- 17 | signature page in Friedman Number 10, which
- 18 | is the 315 that is dated November 12, does
- 19 | that refresh your recollection at all as to
- whether or not Mr. Parker had a copy of the
- 21 application, whether in final or draft form?
- 22 A No.

6.0

- Q Do you recall what needed finalized in the application after Mr. Parker signed it
- 4 A No.
- Q Do you know whether he made any revisions to the application on
- 7 November 13th?
- 8 A I don't recall.
- 9 Q With respect to Exhibit 3 in
 10 the 315 that we see, it related to other
 11 broadcast interests. Did you ever have
 12 occasion to discuss the language of that
 13 exhibit with anyone else?
- 14 A I don't remember.
- Q Would it be accurate to say that,

 at least with respect to Exhibit 3, you

 relied on representations made to you by your

 client in connection with the accuracy of the

 contents of that exhibit?
- 20 A Yes.
- Q Now, in the 11/13 entry it also indicates that you drafted on 11/13 a local

- 1 | public notice letter. Do you see that?
- 2 A Yes.
- MR. COLE: Let me show you this,
- 4 | which we'll mark as Friedman Number 11. Off
- 5 the record.
- 6 (Discussion off the record)
- 7 (Friedman Deposition Exhibit
- No. 11 was marked for
- 9 identification.)
- 10 BY MR. COLE:
- 11 Q While, Ms. Friedman, is reviewing
- 12 | this I'll describe it for the record. It's a
- document three pages in length, the first two
- pages of which appear to be a letter on
- 15 | Sidley & Austin letterhead dated
- November 14, 1991, addressed to Mr. Parker.
- 17 Ms. Friedman is that your signature on page
- 18 two?
- 19 A Yes, it is.
- 20 Q The third page is a "Statement of
- 21 Broadcast Notice" with the text of the
- 22 statement and then a schedule of when it was

- 1 to be broadcast with the dates left blank,
- 2 and this is Bates stamped 79 through 81. Are
- 3 | you familiar with this letter?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q Would you tell me what it was?
- 6 A It was a letter to Mike Parker
- 7 indicating that he needed to broadcast that
- 8 the application had been filed.
- 9 Q Included with this letter, if I'm
- 10 reading correctly the first paragraph, a copy
- of application as filed; is that correct?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q Gave him the contents of the public
- 14 | notice to be broadcast in connection with the
- 15 application?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q Did you draft that?
- 18 A I believe so, yes.
- 19 Q Where did you get the information
- 20 | to be included in the public notice?
- 21 A From the application and I believe
- 22 | the FCC rules sets out the language.

63 1 I believe so, too. 0 Did Mr. Parker ever advise you that 2 the information in the public notice which 3 you sent to him with this letter was 4 5 inaccurate in any respect? 6 I don't recall. I don't think so. 7 MR. GEOLOT: Off the record. (Discussion off the record) 8 BY MR. COLE: 9 Mr. Geolot has been an excellent 10 host and provided the court reporter with 11 water, which is good. 12 13 Ms. Friedman, let me give you a document dated January 9, 1992. Do you have 14 that? I only two copies. Can you share one? 15 MR. GEOLOT: We need the sticker. 16 17 MR. COLE: We'll call this Number 12. 18 19 (Friedman Deposition Exhibit No. 12 was marked for 20 identification.) 21 MR. COLE: Mr. Hutton, I only have 22

1 one copy.

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

BY MR. COLE:

Q Can you take a look at it, see what

it is. I think my questions are pretty

straightforward. If I could have that back

just for purposes of asking you questions. I

apologize to all concerned.

MR. GEOLOT: Why don't we just stop and make copies.

10 (Discussion off the record)

11 BY MR. COLE:

12 O Back on the record.

I've just distributed a document which we numbered Friedman Number 12, which is a letter dated January 9, 1999 on Sidley & Austin letterhead addressed to Mr. Parker from Mr. Wadlow accompanied by a one-page bill dated January 9, 1992 addressed to

Mr. Parker, Reading Broadcast, Inc., which is, in turn, is accompanied by a three-page itemization of attorney time.

What I would like to do is have in

- 1 | front of you both the Friedman 9 and
- 2 Friedman 12, that is the listing of your time
- 3 during November of 1991 and the listing of
- 4 | time during December of '91. Do you have
- 5 | those?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 | Q Have you reviewed those this
- 8 morning?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Is it accurate to say that those
- 11 | statements reflect that after the 315 was
- 12 | filed on November 13, 1991, you continued to
- work to provide services with respect to the
- 14 |application?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q That continued both through
- 17 November and into December of 1991?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Could you describe what you're
- 20 ongoing work was that you were doing
- 21 post 11/13/1991 with respect to the
- 22 application?

- MR. GEOLOT: Again, you're asking
- 2 her for independent recollection?
- MR. COLE: Based on what she can
- 4 | tell me from these entries.
- 5 THE WITNESS: I don't recall
- 6 anything beyond what is on the time sheet.
- 7 BY MR. COLE:
- 8 Q Do these statements, that is
- 9 | Friedman Number 9 and Friedman Number 12,
- 10 | also reflect during this period of time you
- 11 had multiple telephone conversations with
- 12 Mr. Parker?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q Did those conversations, as
- 15 | reflected in your time entries, concern 315
- 16 transfer application?
- 17 A Yes.
- MR. GEOLOT: Again, you're
- 19 | testifying based on --
- THE WITNESS: From what's written
- 21 here.
- MR. COLE: That's why I asked her,

- on Sidley & Austin letterhead addressed to
- 2 | Meridian Bank signed by Mr. Wadlow on behalf
- of Sidley & Austin, Bates S&A 0138
- 4 through 0140. Are you familiar with this
- 5 document?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q What is this document?
- 8 A It's an opinion letter.
- 9 Q What was the purpose of this
- 10 opinion letter?
- 11 A I don't remember.
- 12 Q Did you prepare this opinion
- 13 letter?
- 14 A I don't think so, no.
- Q Were you involved in any way in the
- 16 preparation of this opinion letter?
- 17 A I don't remember.
- 18 Q Does reviewing this document that
- we've identified as Friedman 13 refresh your
- 20 recollection at all with respect to the time
- 21 entries for you in December of 1991
- 22 | concerning the opinion letter?

- 1 A No, it doesn't.
- 2 (Friedman Deposition Exhibit
- No. 14 was marked for
- 4 identification.)
- 5 BY MR. COLE:
- 6 Q I now show you number 14, what
- 7 | we'll identify as Friedman Number 14, which
- 8 | is a letter dated February 17, 1992 on Sidley
- 9 & Austin letterhead from Mr. Wadlow to
- 10 Mr. Parker. Accompanying it is a one-page
- 11 | bill dated February 17, '92 addressed to
- 12 Mr. Parker at Reading Broadcasting, Inc.,
- which, in turn, is accompanied by a two-page
- 14 | itemization of attorney time.
- 15 Let me refer you to the attorney
- 16 | time entries, please, Ms. Friedman. Do these
- 17 | entries also indicate to you that during the
- 18 period of January 1992 you were continuing to
- 19 be engaged in work relating to the transfer
- 20 application?
- 21 A Yes.
- Q Does this time listing attached to

- 1 | the February statement also reflect that
- 2 during the period of time of January 1992,
- 3 | you had multiple telephone conversations with
- 4 Mr. Parker?
- 5 A It looks like there's one here.
- Q Well, 1/89/2 is a PGF for teleconf
- 7 Parker.
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Then there's a further one
- 10 at 1/17/92.
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q During those conversations with
- 13 Mr. Parker did he advise you that the
- 14 application needed to be amended in any way?
- 15 A I don't remember.
- 16 Q During those conversations did he
- 17 advise you that the information in the
- 18 | application was not completely accurate?
- 19 A I don't remember.
- MR. COLE: Well, you did amend
- 21 | the 315 twice in 1992, and let me show you
- 22 those amendments so you have you them. We'll

1	call	one	Friedman	Number	15.
---	------	-----	----------	--------	-----

- 2 (Friedman Deposition Exhibit
- 3 No. 15 was marked for
- 4 identification.)
- BY MR. COLE: 5
- 6 I provide you a copy and Mr. Geolot Q
- a copy and Mr. Hunton a copy. 7
- 8 This is a document dated
- 9 January 29, 1992, letter two pages in length.
- 10 Well, I take that back. It's a letter one
- 11 page in length, but I do not have the second
- page of it, so do you recall this document at 12
- 13 all?
- 14 Α No, I don't.
- 15 MR. COLE: Let me now show you this
- 16 one. We'll call this one Friedman Number 16.
- 17 (Friedman Deposition Exhibit
- No. 16 was marked for 18
- identification.) 19
- 20 BY MR. COLE:
- 21 Which is a letter two pages in
- length, Sidley & Austin letterhead, addressed 22

- 1 to Donna Searcy, a secretary of the FCC, and
- 2 | first before you go too much further, is that
- 3 your signature on page two?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q Signed by Ms. Friedman and
- 6 | accompanying is a one-page amendment signed
- 7 | apparently by Mr. Parker and accompanying
- 8 that is a six-page order confirming debtor's
- 9 | fourth amended plan of reorganization. Do
- 10 you recognize this document?
- 11 A No, I don't.
- 12 Q But you recognize your signature?
- 13 A I signed it, yes.
- 14 Q Do you recall preparing this
- 15 |letter?
- 16 A I don't remember.
- 17 Q Do you recall submitting this to
- 18 | the FCC?
- 19 A No.
- 20 | Q Do you know why this was submitted
- 21 | to the FCC?
- 22 A No, I don't.

- Q Do you know whether you conferred with Mr. Parker in connection with the
- 3 preparation of this amendment?
- 4 A I don't recall.
- 5 Q We'll mark number 17 a one-page
- 6 letter addressed to Donna Searcy at the FCC.
- 7 Is that your signature on that letter,
- 8 Ms. Friedman?
- 9 A Yes, it is.
- 10 (Friedman Deposition Exhibit
- No. 17 was marked for
- identification.)
- BY MR. COLE:
- Q We'll mark this as 17. It's one
- 15 page letter advising the FCC that on
- 16 March 12, 1992 the transfer of control with
- 17 | respect to WYBR-TV was consummated. Do you
- 18 recall this letter?
- 19 A No, I don't.
- 20 Q That is your signature?
- 21 A Yes, sir.
- Q Do you recall how you knew or how